IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 769 of 2006 (M/B)

1. Prem Nath S/o Ram Lubhaya.
2. Sanjay S/o Prem Nath.
3. Rajeev S/o Prem Nath.
   R/l 153 Shaktinagar, Amritsar,
   Punjab. …… Petitioner.

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal,
   through Senior Superintendent of Police,
   Haridwar.
2. S.H.O.,
   P.S. Kotwali Jwalapur, District Haridwar.
3. Vikram Jeet Singh S/o Amarjeet Singh,
   R/o Aryanagar, P.S. Jwalapur,
   District Haridwar. …… Respondents

None for the petitioners.
Mr. H.C. Pande, Addl. Government Advocate for respondents Nos 1 & 2.
None for respondent No.3.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Rajesh Tandon, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

Petitioner's counsel absent.

Mr. H.C. Pande, Addl. Government Advocate for respondents Nos 1 & 2.

None for respondent No.3.

2. Mr. H.C. Pandey, the learned Addl. Government Advocate submits that the writ petition filed by petitioners Prem Nath, Sanjay and Rajeev seeking quashing of the First Information Report and the case registered against them, now, has become infructuous, as the Police, after the completion of the investigation, though did not find any case worth filing charge sheet against petitioners Nos. 2 & 3

Sanjeev and Rajeev, has filed charge sheet against petitioner No.1 Prem Nath before the concerning court.

3. In this view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

4. With the above order, CLMA No.8108 of 2006 stands dismissed as infructuous.

(Rajesh Tandon, J.) **(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.)**
07.09.2006 **07.09.2006**

G